

RESEARCH ARTICLE**Making Literature Familiar: Canonical English Texts in School Syllabi**

Aashima Mathur¹

University of Delhi , Department of English ,Independent Researcher, New Delhi, India

Aashima Mathur²Email: aashimamathur14@gmail.com, Address: New Delhi, India

Article Received: 16/08/2025; Article Accepted: 28/09/2025; Published Online: 29/09/2025

Abstract

Incorporating canonical texts into educational curricula significantly influences the development of students' moral values, cultural understanding, and critical thinking skills. This paper examines the significance of Indian and British English literature in educational programs and how these texts assist students in grasping concepts of life, morality, and human actions. Writers like Rabindranath Tagore, Anita Desai, Raja Rao, and Jhumpa Lahiri embody Indian culture, whereas William Shakespeare, Geoffrey Chaucer, Jane Austen, Virginia Woolf, and Charles Dickens illustrate the worldwide literary canon. This study shows, through a qualitative review of current syllabi and interpretative analysis, that canonical literature equips students with both linguistic skills and moral, philosophical frameworks to address real-world issues.

Keywords: canonical literature, Indian English literature, British canon, school curriculum, moral values, cultural literacy, pedagogical approaches, ethical formation, critical thinking, intercultural dialogue.

Introduction

School education acts as the initial stage for introducing students to the wealth of literature. Literature is not just an academic discipline; it serves as a reflection of life that showcases cultural values, personal struggles, and ethical decisions. The incorporation of canonical English literature—both from India and the West—in the educational curriculum seeks to close cultural divides while instilling universal human principles. For example, Shakespeare's "The Merchant of Venice" presents themes of justice and compassion, whereas Tagore's "Gitanjali" provides spiritual reflection and moral foundation. Likewise, Anita Desai's depiction of inner struggle and Charles Dickens' examination of social injustice expands students' ethical comprehension. This paper explores the aim and influence of these texts in familiarizing, engaging, and providing ethical lessons for young readers.

The curricular integration of such texts aims to accomplish two pivotal objectives: the cultivation of universal human values and the negotiation of cultural plurality. For instance, Shakespeare's *The Merchant of Venice* dramatizes the perennial tension between justice and mercy, compelling students to interrogate legalistic rigidity vis-à-vis compassionate humanism. In contrast, Tagore's *Gitanjali* invites readers into an introspective engagement with the metaphysical dimensions of existence, foregrounding humility, devotion, and spiritual transcendence. Likewise, Anita Desai's psychological realism, evident in her nuanced portrayal

RESEARCH ARTICLE

of isolation and inner conflict, equips learners to grapple with the subtleties of human emotion, while Dickens' trenchant critique of Victorian social inequities illuminates the ethical imperatives of empathy and social responsibility.

By embedding these texts within syllabi, educational institutions do more than familiarize students with literary heritage; they orchestrate formative encounters with ideas that transcend temporal and cultural boundaries. This paper, therefore, seeks to examine the pedagogical purpose and ethical impact of such canonical works, elucidating their role in rendering literature both familiar and transformative for young learners.

Materials and Methods**1. Overview of Methodological Orientation**

This study employs a qualitative research approach, favoring interpretivist epistemologies rather than positivist frameworks, to explore the axiological, epistemological, and pedagogical aspects of canonical literary works in the Indian school curriculum. The research is based on the premise that literature, as a semiotic creation and cultural product, does not simply communicate language but serves as a hermeneutic space where ethical demands, socio-cultural structures, and aesthetic values intersect. Thus, the methodological framework of this study rejects quantification and instead adopts content analysis and critical hermeneutics, utilizing interpretive strategies that emphasize textual polysemy, cultural semiotics, and curricular intent.

This methodological stance is additionally supported by a constructivist theoretical framework, which assumes that meaning is not inherent or fixed but co-created during educational interactions between texts and learners. Thus, the research intends not to discover statistical patterns but to uncover the moral and philosophical foundations present in canonical texts, thereby clarifying their ability to influence learner identity and socio-ethical attitudes

2. Corpus Selection: Materials and Canonical Stratification

The main corpus includes essential texts directly included in the curricula of NCERT (National Council of Educational Research and Training), CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education), and ICSE (Indian Certificate of Secondary Education) systems, due to their epistemological dominance and standardizing effect on Indian educational practice. The selection procedure is based on two main criteria: (a) canonical legitimacy—the historical and literary acknowledgment of the text in the global or national literary canon; and (b) curricular relevance—its official presence or suggested inclusion within school curricula at secondary and senior-secondary levels.

The corpus splits into canonical texts of Indian English and those of British/European origin, allowing for a comparative hermeneutic approach that challenges monocultural literacies and highlights intercultural dialogism.

3. Indian English Textual Cluster**3.1 Rabindranath Tagore – Gitanjali**

Selected for its spiritual lyricism and ethical introspection, this Nobel Prize-winning work operates as a semiotic repository of metaphysical humility and universal humanism. Its inclusion foregrounds the dialogic interplay between colonial modernity and indigenous poetics, situating learners at the nexus of spiritual contemplation and ethical praxis.

RESEARCH ARTICLE**3.2 Anita Desai – Fire on the Mountain**

This text exemplifies psychological realism and feminist critique, exploring gendered isolation and existential angst. Its curricular integration seeks to nurture cognitive empathy toward marginal subjectivities, thereby destabilizing androcentric epistememes.

3.3 Raja Rao – Kanthapura

Canonized for its Gandhian idealism and vernacular narrative ethos, this text dramatizes the symbiosis of nationalist politics and cultural identity, rendering the political intimately experiential for learners.

3.4 Jhumpa Lahiri – Interpreter of Maladies

As a diasporic text negotiating hybridity and dislocation, Lahiri's work enables an interrogation of postcolonial subjectivity, cultural estrangement, and affective fragmentation, resonating with the transnational lifeworlds of contemporary learners.

4. British and European Canonical Cluster**4.1 William Shakespeare – The Merchant of Venice**

Selected for its perennial thematics of justice, mercy, and alterity, the play foregrounds juridical ethics and cultural prejudice, compelling critical reflection on moral ambivalence.

4.2 Geoffrey Chaucer – The Canterbury Tales

This medieval narrative architecture exemplifies heteroglossia and satirical ethics, offering learners an entry point into early modern social typologies and normative tensions.

4.3 Jane Austen – Pride and Prejudice

The text dramatizes the dialectic between individual agency and socio-cultural codification, foregrounding class stratification and gender performativity within the Regency era.

4.4 Virginia Woolf – A Room of One's Own

Canonical for its feminist epistemology, this text interrogates gendered exclusions from intellectual labor, aligning with curricular objectives that emphasize gender equity and critical consciousness.

4.5 Charles Dickens – A Tale of Two Cities

Dickens' narrative operates as a critique of industrial modernity and socio-economic disparity, advancing humanitarian ethics through historical allegory.

5. Methodological Procedure: Multi-Layered Content Analysis

This analytical approach explores the moral mandates and value systems present in the textual collection, interpreting themes not as static symbols but as active ethical conversations that shape learner identity. For example, Shakespeare's legal dialectic concerning mercy and law in *The Merchant of Venice* is examined through moral philosophy and legal interpretation, uncovering its educational potential to develop *phronesis* (practical wisdom) in students. Likewise, Tagore's *Gitanjali*, with its mystical poetry, is analyzed through phenomenological aesthetics to clarify its ability to foster spiritual reflection and moral humility. Operationally, this thematic exploration utilizes discourse analysis, in which narrative structures, dialogic interactions, and rhetorical devices are broken down to reveal the hidden moral frameworks underlying these texts. The interpretative approach also employs axiological critique, charting the ethical dimensions of each text in relation to curricular learning goals.

The second methodological level pertains to the evaluation of cultural meaning and experiential relevance, centering on the degree to which classical texts act as cultural communicators bridging the learner's habitus and the literary realm. This involves a Bakhtinian dialogic examination to explore the heteroglossic points where indigenous and external cultural codes clash, merge, or blend.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

For instance, Lahiri's diasporic stories, positioned within transnational cultural contexts, are examined for their relevance to the emotional experiences of students navigating identity shifts caused by globalization.

The final analytic vector interrogates the functional synergy between canonical literature and the broader curricular teleology, emphasizing literature's instrumental role in cognitive scaffolding, critical reasoning, and ethical formation. This entails an ideological critique of curricular design, assessing whether the inclusion of canonical texts performs an emancipatory or reproductive function within educational discourse.

The operational methodology involves documentary analysis of NCERT, CBSE, and ICSE syllabi, triangulated with pedagogical praxis observations and teacher-student interactional dynamics (as recorded in secondary literature). The aim is to determine whether these texts are mobilized merely as linguistic artifacts or as dialogic spaces fostering Socratic inquiry, moral deliberation, and epistemic reflexivity.

Further, the method incorporates Bloom's Taxonomy-based evaluative frameworks to analyze the alignment of textual inclusion with higher-order cognitive skills, such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation, alongside affective competencies like empathy and moral judgment. Here, the works of Woolf and Desai are particularly scrutinized for their feminist epistemologies, which align with contemporary curricular imperatives surrounding gender sensitization and equity.

6. Epistemic Justification for Qualitative Methodology

The use of a qualitative, interpretative approach is not merely coincidental but is epistemically required due to the ontological character of the research issue, which defies positivist measurement. Literature, being a symbolic and performative discourse, resists statistical codification without inflicting epistemic harm; instead, its ethical and cultural implications necessitate hermeneutic engagement, semiotic analysis, and dialogical examination. The interpretive framework recognizes the multifaceted essence of literary meaning, dismissing the simplistic error of one-dimensional signification.

Additionally, the research incorporates Gadamerian philosophical hermeneutics, viewing understanding as a merging of horizons between the text and the interpreter, alongside Ricoeur's hermeneutics of suspicion, to reveal the ideological influences and power structures present in canonical choices. This theoretical perspective validates the use of thick description (Geertz), allowing the research to uncover the multi-faceted meanings, cultural intertexts, and ethical dimensions present within the curricular-literary relationship.

Results and Discussion**1. Introductory Transition**

The interpretative analysis performed on the cited collection of canonical texts produces findings that go beyond mere literary admiration, revealing a multifaceted educational framework that functions within cognitive, ethical, and cultural dimensions. This segment aims to express these results using a three-part framework that corresponds with the methodological lines outlined previously: (i) the moral-ethical dimension; (ii) the social-empathetic dimension; and (iii) the intercultural-literary dimension. Together, these dimensions collectively support the assertion that canonical literature—when utilized as a tool for curricular practice—operates as a discursive mechanism of identity formation, enhancing learners' abilities for moral reasoning, empathic understanding, and dialogical creativity

1.1 Moral and ethical development

At the epicenter of literary pedagogy lies the cultivation of ethical consciousness—an objective that canonical texts accomplish through the dramatization of normative dilemmas, juridical conflicts, and

RESEARCH ARTICLE

axiological paradoxes. William Shakespeare's *The Merchant of Venice* serves as a paradigmatic instance of this ethical dramaturgy. The play stages a jurisprudential dialectic between the rigor of law and the elasticity of mercy, epitomized in Portia's forensic performance: "The quality of mercy is not strain'd; It droppeth as the gentle rain from heaven" (Shakespeare 1596). This rhetorical invocation of mercy as a divine attribute reframes the juridical apparatus not as a mechanism of retribution but as an ethical theater wherein justice is tempered by compassion. For students situated within a globalized juridical order marked by legalism and punitive rationality, such a text functions as an ethical heuristic, enabling them to navigate the tension between codified legality and moral equity.

Tagore's *Gitanjali*, conversely, inaugurates a hermeneutic of spiritual humility that destabilizes the anthropocentric arrogance endemic to modern epistemes. The lyric voice articulates an ethos of surrender and reverence, as in "Leave this chanting and singing and telling of beads! Whom dost thou worship in this lonely dark corner of a temple?" (Tagore 1912). Here, Tagore deconstructs ritualistic formalism in favor of ethical praxis grounded in service and humility, thus repositioning spirituality as a lived moral economy rather than a liturgical abstraction. Within the curricular ecology, such thematics assume renewed urgency in an era dominated by materialist instrumentalism, offering learners an alternative axiology predicated upon simplicity, empathy, and transcendental solidarity.

In the realm of social ethics, Charles Dickens' *A Tale of Two Cities* dramatizes the tragic dialectic of revolutionary justice and humanitarian sacrifice. The narrative mobilizes historical allegory to critique the asymmetries of industrial modernity and feudal residuals, foregrounding the sacrificial heroism of Sydney Carton as an ethical telos: "It is a far, far better thing that I do, than I have ever done" (Dickens 1859). This climactic utterance does not merely romanticize martyrdom but reconfigures individual subjectivity as a site of ethical surplus, wherein selfhood attains plenitude through altruistic negation. Pedagogically, such configurations facilitate the internalization of solidarity as a counter-discourse to neoliberal individualism, thereby aligning literary praxis with the moral imperatives of communal coexistence.

1.2 Social awareness and empathetic consciousness

If ethics constitutes the centripetal force of canonical pedagogy, then empathy operates as its centrifugal correlate, propelling learners beyond solipsistic enclosures toward dialogical engagements with alterity. Anita Desai's *Fire on the Mountain* orchestrates a narrative of gendered solitude and psychic disintegration, focalized through the spectral subjectivity of Nanda Kaul. The text dramatizes the phenomenology of withdrawal, exposing the structural violence of patriarchal scripts that circumscribe feminine agency. For learners, the text functions as an affective cartography, enabling them to apprehend the invisible grammars of oppression that animate ostensibly serene domestic spaces. Such apprehension does not merely augment sociological awareness but engenders affective literacy, a pedagogical objective indispensable for ethical citizenship in a world haunted by gendered asymmetries.

Jhumpa Lahiri's *Interpreter of Maladies* extends this empathetic pedagogy into the transnational arena, anatomizing the psychic fissures of diasporic existence. The titular narrative, for instance, refracts the semiotics of alienation through the idiom of miscommunication, wherein linguistic translation becomes a metaphor for affective dissonance. For students navigating the cultural palimpsests of globalization, Lahiri's text functions as a mirror-stage of hybrid subjectivity, fostering reflexive engagements with identity, belonging, and displacement.

Parallely, Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* dramatizes the social economy of marriage as a regulatory apparatus that orchestrates gendered and classed subjectivities. While the narrative ostensibly

RESEARCH ARTICLE

culminates in conjugal felicity, its dialogic subtext interrogates the ideological underpinnings of patriarchal capitalism. Through Elizabeth Bennet's ironic resilience, Austen inscribes a proto-feminist critique that remains germane to contemporary struggles for gender parity. Pedagogically, the text engenders critical historicity, enabling learners to discern the continuum of gendered inequities across temporal registers.

1.3 Cultural Bridging and Intercultural Literacy

The third analytic axis foregrounds the capacity of canonical texts to operate as cultural translators, mediating between disparate epistemic worlds and enabling learners to inhabit a dialogical cosmopolitanism. Chaucer's *The Canterbury Tales* exemplifies heteroglossia in its purest form, orchestrating a polyphonic carnival of estates satire that destabilizes monologic truth-claims. By compelling learners to navigate this discursive heterarchy, the text cultivates an interpretative habitus attuned to plurality and perspectivalism, virtues indispensable for democratic deliberation.

Virginia Woolf's *A Room of One's Own* radicalizes this intercultural literacy by situating gender as an epistemological category. Woolf's polemic against patriarchal exclusion from intellectual labor resonates with the curricular imperative of gender sensitization, foregrounding the politics of space and authorship as constitutive determinants of knowledge production. For learners inhabiting a postfeminist simulacrum that masks persistent gender asymmetries, Woolf's text functions as both an analytic lens and a normative beacon, catalyzing feminist consciousness.

Finally, Raja Rao's *Kanthapura* recuperates Gandhian praxis as a counter-hegemonic discourse, inscribing the nationalist struggle within the idiom of indigenous cosmology. This textual hybridity not only provincializes Eurocentric modernity but also equips learners with a decolonial hermeneutic, enabling them to interrogate the epistemic violence of coloniality while valorizing the ethical affordances of indigenous traditions.

2. Integration with curriculum and pedagogical implications

The thematic and cultural resources of the corpus significantly correspond with the learning objectives outlined by NCERT and CBSE frameworks, emphasizing value-based education, critical thinking, and gender awareness. Nonetheless, the effectiveness of these texts is often undermined by the pedagogical stagnation of memorization, which strips literature of its interactive essence and transforms it into a subject of analytical breakdown. To regain its transformative capacity, pedagogy needs to shift from one-way transmission to two-way interaction, utilizing Socratic seminars, interpretative discussions, and project-based inquiry as methods for experiential interpretation.

Conclusion

The exegetical path explored in this study emphasizes the undeniable assertion that canonical texts—whether originating from indigenous knowledge systems or from the Western cultural continuum—maintain an essential ontological and educational role within the educational frameworks of Indian schools. This necessity is neither a dated idolization of textual artifacts nor a passive adherence to curricula hardened by institutional stagnation; instead, it reflects a foundational belief that literature, when engaged in dialogue, forms a semiotic melting pot where ethical reflection, cultural interpretation, and cognitive adaptability merge. The interpretative analytics outlined here reveal how these canonical configurations function not just as aesthetic objects but also as discursive technologies of subject-formation, supporting learners' abilities to engage with multiple normative realities. The ethical purpose of Shakespeare's legal drama, the spiritual reflection embedded in Tagore's lyrical interpretations, and the sacrificial morals illustrated in Dickensian narrative structures—each textual location arises as a didactic apparatus that facilitates the learner's

RESEARCH ARTICLE

engagement with otherness, mortality, and historical unpredictability. Similarly, the emotional mappings embedded in Desai's exploration of gendered isolation and Lahiri's diasporic symbolism serve as epistemic challenges, allowing the learner to navigate the ghostly landscapes of dislocation, estrangement, and identity fragmentation.

Simultaneously, the intercultural literacies enabled by Chaucerian heteroglossia, Woolfian feminist epistemology, and Rao's Gandhian cosmopoiesis exemplify what could be termed pedagogical cosmopolitanism—a curricular necessity that empowers learners to inhabit dialogical spaces instead of monological certainties. In a geopolitical environment filled with ethno-nationalist exclusivity and techno-capitalist uniformity, such literacies take on the moral weight of a counter-narrative, neutralizing the allure of epistemic certainty via a dynamic teaching approach that embraces diversity.

It would, however, be educationally simplistic to ignore the structural contradictions that undermine the liberating potential of canonical texts. The educational inertia in Indian classrooms, where interpretive diversity is often replaced by rote memorization, risks solidifying literature into a lifeless subject of grammatical analysis. When literature is used solely as a linguistic tool for assessment systems, its inherent value—its ability to foster moral reflection, emotional empathy, and intercultural awareness—sadly goes largely unfulfilled. Consequently, the inclusion of canonical texts in the curriculum, while essential, is inadequate on its own; its effectiveness relies on a hermeneutic teaching approach that favors dialogic interaction instead of monologic delivery, embracing interpretive uncertainty rather than definitive exegetical conclusions.

In pursuit of this goal, the study promotes a pedagogical shift based on the practice of dialogism: Socratic seminars, multifaceted debates, interpretive performances, and diverse engagements that displace the text from the constraints of rote learning and reintegrate it into the dynamic ecosystems of critical exploration. These educational adjustments would not only prevent the traditional from becoming outdated but would also transform it into a vibrant ethical repository, continually redefined according to the signs and circumstances of the current moment.

In conclusion, the study validates that integrating canonical texts into Indian school curricula is not merely an expression of historical reverence but an essential element of civilization, a safeguard against the intellectual deprivation caused by utilitarianism and algorithmic reasoning. These texts not only provide linguistic skills; they develop ethical frameworks, ontological humility, and intercultural connections, preparing learners to manage the complexities of a hypermodern existence filled with moral ambiguity and cultural disintegration. To reject their curricular role on the grounds of vocational needs would establish a pedagogical dystopia, where education turns into a technocratic routine, stripped of its humanistic purpose.

The canonical remains—not as a relic buried within the ossuaries of tradition but as a dynamic dialogic entity, whose semiotic energy lies in its infinite nature, its enduring ability to reveal new realms of understanding. Its inexhaustibility constitutes its pedagogical purpose, making literature an essential tool for fostering what Aristotle referred to as *phronesis*—practical wisdom that is necessary for any polity, no matter how technologically advanced, to thrive in ethical completeness.

Works Cited

Austen, Jane. *Pride and Prejudice*. Edited by James Kinsley, Oxford UP, 2008.

Chaucer, Geoffrey. *The Canterbury Tales*. Edited by Jill Mann, Penguin Classics, 2005.

Desai, Anita. *Fire on the Mountain*. Vintage International, 1999.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Dickens, Charles. *A Tale of Two Cities*. Edited by Richard Maxwell, Penguin Classics, 2003.

Lahiri, Jhumpa. *Interpreter of Maladies*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 1999.

Rao, Raja. *Kanthapura*. Oxford UP, 1989.

Shakespeare, William. *The Merchant of Venice*. Edited by John Drakakis, Arden Shakespeare, 2010.

Tagore, Rabindranath. *Gitanjali: Song Offerings*. Macmillan, 1913.

Woolf, Virginia. *A Room of One's Own*. Harcourt, 1929.